Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 1 of 6

1	ROBERT G. DREHER	
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division	
3	United States Department of Justice	
4	BARBARA MARVIN Natural Resources Section	
5241	Environment & Natural Resources Division	
5	United States Department of Justice 601 D. ST., NW	
6	Washington, DC 20530	
7	Telephone: (202) 305-0240 JUDITH RABINOWITZ	
8	Indian Resources Section	
9	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice	
10	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050	
11	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 744-6486	
12	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
13		Nome you govern
14	IN THE UNITED STATES D FOR THE EASTERN DISTRIC	
15	TANGUL A CITION COMMUNICATION AND TANGUL	
16	JAMUL ACTION COMMITTEE and the JAMUL COMMUNITY CHURCH,)
17	Digintiffe	
18	Plaintiffs, v.)
19	JONODEV CHAUDHURI, Acting Chairman of the)
	National Indian Gaming Commission, S.M.R.) CASE NO. 3:13-cv-01920 KJM-KJN
20	JEWELL, Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior, KEVIN WASHBURN,)) JOINT STATUS REPORT
21	Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs, U.S.)
22	Department of the Interior, PAULA L. HART, Director of the Office of Indian Gaming, Bureau of) Date: January 23, 2014) Time: 2:30
23	Indian Affairs, AMY DUTSCHKE, Regional) Judge: Honorable Kimberly J. Mueller
24	Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, JOHN RYDZIK, Chief, Division of Environmental,)
25	Cultural Resources Management and Safety of the	
26	Bureau of Indian Affairs, THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR and the)
27	NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION,)
28	Defendants.)
	I .	

Case No. 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN

Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 2 of 6

Plaintiffs JAMUL ACTION COMMITTEE and the JAMUL COMMUNITY CHURCH (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and JONODEV CHAUDHURI, Acting Chairman of the National Indian Gaming Commission, S.M.R. JEWELL, Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior; KEVIN WASHBURN, Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs, U.S. Department of Interior; PAULA L. HART, Chairwoman of the Office of Indian Gaming AMY DUTSCHKE, Regional Director, Pacific Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs; JOHN RYDZIK, Chief, Division of Environmental, Cultural Resources Management and Safety, Pacific Regional Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs; THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR and THE NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION (collectively, "Federal Defendants"), hereby submit this Joint Status Report (JSR)in compliance with directions in the Court's Order Setting Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference, ECF No. 6 (September 17, 2013).

(a) Nature of the case.

The focus of this case, in Plaintiffs' view, is an Indian Lands determination, which Plaintiffs allege was first made by the National Indian Gaming Commission in the "PUBLIC NOTICE: Notice of Intent to Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Approval of a Gaming Management Contract," which was published in the Federal Register. 78 Fed. Reg. 21398, 21399. Plaintiffs filed their complaint on September 15, 2013 and refiled it with a clerical correction to the caption on September 23, 2013. Plaintiffs assert four claims against Federal Defendants: 1) violation of the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, ("IRA"); 2) infringement of state jurisdiction over non-public domain property; 3) violation of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act ("IGRA"); and 4) violation of the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA").

(b) Status of service of process.

¹ Jonodev Chaudhuri, Acting Chairman of the National Indian Gaming Commission, is automatically substituted for Tracie Stevens, former Chair of the National Indian Gaming Commission, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d).

Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 3 of 6

Service of the complaint was completed as to all defendants on November 5, 2013. In accordance with the Parties' Stipulation for Extension of Answering Date(ECF No. 9) the parties agreed to extend the "Federal Defendants' January 6, 2014 answering date to February 3, 2014."

(c) Possible Joinder of Additional Parties

None anticipated at this time.

(d) Anticipated Amendment of Pleadings.

None anticipated at this time.

(e) Jurisdiction and Venue.

Jurisdiction of this Court was invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 et. seq., 4 U.S.C. §§ 701-706, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202. Plaintiffs allege that venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) and (e) and 5 U.S.C. § 703.

(f) Anticipated discovery and scheduling of discovery.

Plaintiffs have alleged that there has been final agency action and thus seemingly bring their case pursuant to the APA. Federal Defendants contend that, to the extent that this is an APA case, it is subject to record review and discovery is not appropriate.

(g) Anticipated Dispositive Motions.

Plaintiffs may bring a motion for preliminary injunction if necessary. At this point it seems that injunctive relief may not be necessary in the short-term because Counsel for the Federal Defendants has indicated that, in this case, as a practical matter, the gaming management contract will not be approved by the NIGC until after the SEIS is finalized. Counsel for the Federal Defendants has also confirmed that there will be a public meeting and an opportunity for public

BIA-NIGC-DOI say
the gaming
management
contract WILL NOT
be approved UNTIL
the Supplemental
Enivronmental
Impact Study
(SEIS) is finalized.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Which will include public comment.

28

Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 4 of 6

comment on the SEIS before it is finalized. In Plaintiffs' view, construction on the casino should not be initiated until the gaming management contract and SEIS are approved. And, if necessary, Plaintiffs will seek injunctive relief on that basis.

Plaintiffs also anticipate bringing a motion for summary judgment and/or a motion for judgment on the pleadings after the Defendants answer the complaint. Federal Defendants contend that, to the extent that this is a case brought under the APA, it is appropriate for resolution on summary judgment, but, prior to Federal Defendants' answer deadline, the timing of dispositive motions briefing has yet to be discussed or negotiated by the parties.

(h) – Evidence issues.

Unknown at this time.

(i) Proposed Pre-trial Final Pre-Trial Conference Date.

Plaintiffs recommend that, if necessary a pre-trial conference date be set in November 2014. Federal Defendants contend that, to the extent that this is a case brought under the APA, however, it is appropriate for resolution on summary judgment, rather than through pre-trial and trial proceedings.

(i.) Proposed trial date.

Plaintiffs recommend that, if necessary, a trial date be set in March 2015.

The Plaintiff is not requesting a jury trial. Federal Defendants contend that, to the extent that this is a case brought under the APA, however, it is subject to resolution on dispositive motions rather than trial.

(k) Appropriateness of Special Procedures.

Not applicable.

Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 5 of 6

1	(l) Proposed modification of standard pre-trial procedures.		
2	No pre-trial procedural modifications are anticipated at this time.		
3	Additionally, to the extent that this is a case brought under the APA, it is subject to the APA, it is subject to the APA.		
4			
5	to resolution on dispositive motions rather than through pre-trial and trial		
6	proceedings.		
7	(m) Other related cases pending in this District.		
8	Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants are aware of any related cases in this		
9			
0	District.		
1	(n) Settlement options and discussions.		
2	Uncertain at this time.		
3	(o) Other Relevant Matters.		
4	None at this time.		
5			
6	Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2014.		
7	ROBERT G. DREHER		
8	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division		
	United States Department of Justice		
9	/s/ Barbara M.R. Marvin		
20	BARBARA M.R. MARVIN		
21	Natural Resources Section		
22	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice		
23	601 D. Street, NW		
4	Washington, DC 20530		
	/s/ Judith Rabinowitz		
25	JUDITH RABINOWITZ		
26	Indian Resources Section Environment and Natural Resources Division		
27	United States Department of Justice		
28	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105		

Case 2:13-cv-01920-KJM-KJN Document 10 Filed 01/16/14 Page 6 of 6

1	OF COUNSEL:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JENNIFER TURNER U.S. Department of the Interior Office of the Solicitor Division of Indian Affairs Branch of Environment and Lands Washington, DC 20240 JOHN HAY National Indian Gaming Commission Office of General Counsel 1441 L Street NW Washington, DC 20005	n
0		Attorneys for Federal Defendants
1 2		
3		/s/ Kenneth R. Williams
4		KENNETH R. WILLIAMS, State Bar No. 73170 Attorney at Law
5		980 9th Street, 16 th Floor
6		Sacramento, CA 95814
7		Attorney for Plaintiffs
8		
9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2.5		
26		
27		
28		